



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,178	10/26/2000	Koichi Furusawa	P19724	4348	
7055 75	90 10/21/2003		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			QADERI, RUNA S		
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3737	9	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2000	DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

				<u>'Y</u>		
;1,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7		
Advisory Action		09/696,178	FURUSAWA ET AL.			
	,,	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Runa S. Qaderi	3737			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
There final r condit	REPLY FILED 26 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
	4. PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
Ex have be 37 CFR (b) above	The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three morpatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee un the final Office action; or (2) as set fort	e der h in		
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a	) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b	) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	pelow);				
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	erially reducing or simplifying	the		
(d	) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendm	ent		
5.🖂	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place th	е		
6.	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-12</u> .					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9.	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.	Other:		11/12			

DENNISW. RUHL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ◆ Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/696,178

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The translation of the enitre apparatus in the z (depth) direction either toward or away from the specimen as recited in columns 16-19 and figure 9c of the Boppart et al. reference encompasses the limitation to a driving unit that moves the interfermometer of applicant's claim 1.